IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY, MISSOURI AT POTOSI, MISSOURI DIVISION

Woodland Lakes Trusteeship, Inc.)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) Cause No. 12WA-CC00410
) Incorrectly Transferred from
David Campbell [Unknown Party])
-) Crawford County Circuit Court
and) as Case 12CF-CC00030
)
Catrenia Campbell,)
Defendant.)

OBJECTION EXHIBITS ONE THROUGH FIVE TO ACCOMPANY 10/21/15 NOTICES AND OBJECTIONS

- 1. Page 17 from 07/20/15 Motion Hearing Transcript
- 2. Page 2 from 09/21/15 Motion Hearing Transcript
- 3. Page 3 from 09/21/15 Motion Hearing Transcript
- 4. Page 4 from 09/21/15 Motion Hearing Transcript
- 5. Page 8 from 07/20/15 Motion Hearing Transcript

"Objections" EXHIBIT 1

question it looked like in your eyes, towards him.

3

4

8 9 10

18

20

22232425

THE COURT: Don't, don't talk to me like that.

DAVID CAMPBELL: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: I'm the Court.

DAVID CAMPBELL: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: That's all for today.

CONCLUSION OF PROCEEDINGS

* * * * *

17

"Objections" EXHIBIT 2

MOTION HEARING

SEPTEMBER 21, 2015

* * * * *

(The following was heard in Washington County Circuit Court, Division II, Potosi, Missouri, the Honorable Wendy Wexler-Horn presiding:)

THE COURT: For the record the Court calls Woodland Lakes Trust vs. David and Catrenia Campbell, Cause No. 13WA-CC00410. In this case plaintiff appears by Mr. Damian Struzzi. The defendants, David and Catrenia Campbell appear not.

I will say first of all that the Court made it very clear that a number of motions that were pending were going to be called up and taken up on today's date.

However, I do see that the defendants have filed a Motion for Continuance, requesting that these matters not be taken up today.

Mr. Struzzi, what is your position on the defendants' Motion to Continue?

MR. STRUZZI: Judge, the defendants' Motion to Continue is based on a court case that they apparently have in Florida. That case is on Wednesday.

I believe the Court has full realm to decide to grant or not grant a Motion to Continue. I've cited a Supreme Court case in my objection to their Motion to Continue, and I would

"Objections" EXHIBIT 3

ask the Court deny that motion today given the length of time they've had to respond to my summary judgment motion.

THE COURT: Motion to Continue is hereby overruled and denied. I called you plaintiff by accident. I apologize for that, Mr. Struzzi. All right. Motion to Continue is hereby denied.

Okay. What are the matters that we need to take up here today?

MR. STRUZZI: Judge, the plaintiff's summary judgment is before the Court. I filed a supplemental additional uncontroverted facts pursuant to the rule. The defendants attempted to respond by filing a purported answer. The answer does not cite to the record, and it also makes general allegations throughout which I believe is ineffective, and that all of my allegations before the Court on the summary judgment are right for consideration.

I filed a Motion for Leave to Amend my statement of uncontroverted facts by interlineation. Number six had a typographical error in it. To make the record clean, I would ask the Court just -- I would withdraw that statement of number six.

And that statement was denied by the defendants anyway, so they're not prejudiced by that paragraph. I believe that summary judgment can be made without that paragraph.

Furthermore, they've asked leave to file an amended

answer. They filed their amended answer well out of time. I would ask the Court deny that leave and just consider the pleadings before the Court. And I believe the Court can enter judgment today. The defendants were given notice of the summary judgment hearing and have failed to appear.

THE COURT: All right. And for the record I think your Motion for Summary Judgment is with respect to their counterclaim? Is that all correct?

MR. STRUZZI: It's with respect to their counterclaim and one count of my petition, Judge, which is essentially just for assessments. Since they owe assessments we are arguing that they're not in good standing. According to the indentures they're allowed to be denied ingress and egress into the subdivision, which defeats all of their counterclaims.

If the Court enters a judgment for the assessments, I've been given authority by my clients to dismiss counts -- the remaining counts in my petition to clarify this entire case and enter judgment on all remaining issues.

THE COURT: All right. Motion for Summary

Judgment in this case is hereby granted. Please prepare a

proposed judgment for me to review.

MR. STRUZZI: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else to take up today?

3 4 5

compulsory counterclaims that were filed since the summary judgments were pending.

I would ask that they be denied. It's been pending for two years. Summary judgment is pending. The case has already been set for trial. I believe those were noticed up for today as well.

CATRENIA CAMPBELL: Your Honor, the law says that permissive counterclaims may be filed at any qualification. They were filed before the summary judgment motion.

THE COURT: I want to take this up. This is what I want to do. I'm announcing, again, when I reviewed this file a week or so ago you had not yet filed and I had not seen your answers to summary judgment. It is now -- they have properly answered the Motion for Summary Judgment which I will take a look at and spend a little bit more time.

So this is what I want to do. I want to take your Motion to Dismiss under advisement. I want to take another look at your pleadings that have been filed and take this under advisement.

I'm going to pass it. Again, I know that you all are coming from far away, but you keep telling me you're going to have an attorney, okay. You keep telling me you're going to have an attorney. If you do, the attorney can come in your place. Otherwise, I am better to see you here on August 17.

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of:

OBJECTION EXHIBITS ONE THROUGH FIVE TO ACCOMPANY 10/21/15 NOTICES AND OBJECTIONS

has been mailed via United States first class mail this 21st day of October, 2015, to:

Woodland Lakes Trusteeship, Inc. Attn: Damian Struzzi, Attorney At Law % Baylard, Billington, Dempsey & Jensen, P.C. 30 South McKinley Union, Missouri 63084

Service has also been sent to the following email addresses:

struzzi@bbd-law.com	nancy@bbd-law.com and	jim@eckelkampuenzel.com

Signed this 21st day of October, 2015.

Respectfully Submitted,

By:

DAVE CAMPBELL, In Pro Se Counter-Plaintiff
19551 W. HWY 40

Dunnellon, Florida 34432

Campbell@CampbellSales.com
(352) 895-8434

By:_____

CATRENIA CAMPBELL, In Pro Se 19551 W. HWY 40 Dunnellon, Florida 34432 Campbell@CampbellSales.com (352) 895-8434